



Internal Regulation
Number: 6/2013

**Disciplinary Rules
of the Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava
for Students**

Date: 25 June 2013

The Academic Senate of the Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava in accordance with Section 9 par. 1 letter b) in connection with Section 15 par. 1 letter j) of Act No. 131/2002 Coll. on Universities and amending certain laws, as amended (hereinafter referred to as "Act") adopted at its meeting on 24 June 2013 the following

**DISCIPLINARY RULES
OF THE SLOVAK UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY IN BRATISLAVA
FOR STUDENTS**

The Disciplinary Rules of the Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava (hereinafter referred to as "STU") for students (hereinafter referred to as the "STU Disciplinary Rules") governs the types of disciplinary offenses, the types of disciplinary measures, the procedure for their imposition, validity and enforceability of decisions in disciplinary proceedings, including their review and activity of the STU Disciplinary commission, and activities of the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission.

**FIRST PART
GENERAL PROVISIONS**

Article 1

- (1) The STU Disciplinary Rules are valid for all students of STU (hereinafter referred to as the "STU student"), regardless of the form and level of study according to STU internal regulation No. 4/2013 STU Study Regulations.
- (2) Each STU student is obliged to respect and follow the generally binding legal regulations, internal regulations, including STU facilities internal regulations, and regulations governing the house rules in STU student residences and STU accommodation facilities, especially accommodation policies in STU student residences.

Article 2

Disciplinary Offense

- (1) Disciplinary offenses are caused by violations of generally binding legal regulations, internal regulations of STU or its facilities, or public disorder by STU students, which can be committed negligently or intentionally, depending on the degree of misconduct.
- (2) Disciplinary offenses are committed negligently, if a STU student:
 - a) knew that his/her action can cause a violation of a regulation referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article or disturb public order, but without any reasonable grounds relied on the situation that such a breach or disruption would not arise;
 - b) he/she did not know that his/her action can cause a violation of a regulation referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article or disturb public order, although in view

of the circumstances and his/her personal circumstances he/she should and could have known it.

- (3) Disciplinary offenses are committed intentionally, if a STU student:
- a) wanted his/her action to cause a violation of a regulation referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article or disturb public order;
 - b) knew that his/her action can cause a violation of a regulation referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article or disturb public order, and in the event that this happens he/she agreed with it.
- (4) It is not a disciplinary offense if due to the method of implementation and its consequences, the circumstances under which it was committed, the degree of misconduct and the motives, its severity is negligible.
- (5) Disciplinary offenses are time-barred if since their commission more than one year has passed.

The limitation period shall be suspended during the proceedings dealing with the disciplinary offense or during interruptions of study.

Article 3

Types of disciplinary offenses

- (1) STU student disciplinary offenses are, in particular, as follows:
- a) a breach of obligations arising from decisions of STU of STU faculty academic self-government;
 - b) a breach of obligations arising from generally valid regulations;
 - c) a refusal to provide information and facts crucial to determine tuition and fees associated with the study under Section 71 par. 3 letter b) of the Act or providing false or incomplete information required for study in accredited study programs at STU;
 - d) a failure to pay tuition and fees associated with study at STU or a faculty of STU;
 - e) a disparagement or other injury caused to an academic community member, employee of STU, STU faculty employee or another STU student;
 - f) a disparagement of the reputation or name of STU or a STU facility;
 - g) any fraudulent conduct contrary to morality in connection with the performance of study duties (any violation of the principles of study morality), and in particular:
 1. representation of any other work in the performance of study duties as their own, or use of its part or a whole without any reference to the original work (plagiarism);
 2. repeated presentation of identical or altered work in the performance of study duties at STU, which has already been passed by the STU student, and the repeated presentation or alteration was not directly ordered as a part of study duties;
 3. dishonesty in assessing student learning results, in particular copying, cheating, prompting, use of illicit devices and other forms of illicit cooperation in the written or verbal verification of knowledge of the STU student;
 - h) induced public nuisance or behaviour contrary to the generally well established standards of good behaviour, morals, ethics and morality;

- i) an act of violence against a STU student or employee;
- j) serious damage, misuse or theft of property of STU, in the academic facilities of STU, in accommodation facilities of STU - student residences of STU as well as in all properties of STU that are owned, managed or rented by STU;
- k) serious damage or theft of the property of a STU student, employee or visitor or property of third persons in facilities of STU;
- l) an intentional criminal offense, for which the STU student has been convicted;
- m) any violation of rules and instructions for working in a STU computer network;
- n) abandonment of accommodation in a STU student residence for another person without the consent of the director of STU student residences and canteens STU;
- o) participation in educational activities under the influence of alcohol, drugs or other narcotic drugs or substances abuse, or a violation of Act No. 377/2004 Coll. on the Protection of Non-smokers and the Amendment of Certain Acts, as amended;
- p) retention of several accommodation places in STU student residences with concurrent studies at several STU faculties or universities;
- q) counterfeiting or spoliation of documents related to the study (student card, study book - index, transcript of records), documents on completed study degrees (diploma and state examination certificate, diploma supplement) and other documents or documents relating to studies at STU;
- r) a violation of the rules provided in internal regulation No. 5/2013, the STU or STU faculty Rules and Conditions for Admission to Study Programs of the First, Second and Third Degrees that the applicant is obliged to observe during the STU admission procedure, including failure to pay the fee for the STU admission procedure, and in spite of this conduct the applicant has been admitted to study;
- s) other serious violation of STU internal regulations and STU facilities including the house rules of STU student residences or internal organizational and management standards issued by the rector, bursar or the dean, all by relevancy (hereinafter referred to as the "STU Internal Regulations").

Article 4

Persons responsible for disciplinary offenses

- (1) The person, which can be subject to disciplinary measure for a disciplinary offense under the STU Disciplinary Rules, must be in the position of a STU student at the time when:
 - a) he/she committed the disciplinary offense; or
 - b) he/she acts in relation to the disciplinary offense in the disciplinary procedure.
- (2) If the disciplinary offense happened with the common conduct of two or more STU students, each of them takes responsibility for it as if he/she committed the disciplinary offense alone.
- (3) The provision of paragraph 2 of this Article shall apply even if the STU student is a person who:
 - a) established conditions for the disciplinary offense to occur;
 - b) instigated another person to commit the disciplinary offense;
 - c) asked another person to commit the disciplinary offense; or
 - d) provided assistance to another person to commit the disciplinary offense;

irrespective of whether other persons referred to in par. a) to d) are STU students or not. If persons referred to in par. a) to d) are STU students, the preceding paragraph shall be applied reasonably.

Article 5 **Disciplinary measures**

- (1) Disciplinary measures are sanctions for the violation of generally binding legal regulations, STU or STU facilities internal regulations, or public disorder, where the severity reached a level of disciplinary offenses under Art. 3 of the STU Disciplinary Rules, which can be imposed only on a STU student using the procedure and the rules set out in the STU Disciplinary Rules.
- (2) When determining to impose a disciplinary measure in accordance with Art. 6, the Disciplinary Commission in disciplinary proceedings under Art. 9 and following, takes into account the nature and severity of the disciplinary offense, the circumstances, under which the disciplinary offense was committed, the degree of misconduct, the consequences of a disciplinary offense in causal connection with the disciplinary offense committed, as well as the behaviour till now and the performance of study obligations of the STU student.

Article 6 **Types of disciplinary measures and options to impose them**

- (1) Disciplinary measures for a disciplinary offense may be imposed on STU students under these STU Disciplinary Rules by the severity and degree of misconduct, as follows:
 - a) reprimand;
 - b) suspension from the study, specifying the terms and conditions that are to be complied with to cancel the suspension from study;
 - c) expulsion from the study.
- (2) Disciplinary measures in accordance with par. 1 letter a) of this Article - a reprimand - shall be generally imposed on a STU student:
 - a) for a less serious disciplinary offense;
 - b) for a disciplinary offense committed by negligence;
 - c) who during his/her studies at STU in the previous period did not commit any disciplinary offense, and taking into account the severity, circumstances, degree of misconduct and consequences caused in causal connection with the offense committed;
 - d) if the amount of losses do not exceed €100 (in words: one hundred euros)
- (3) Disciplinary measures in accordance with par. 1 letter b) of this Article - a suspension from the study - shall be generally imposed on a STU student:
 - a) for a more serious disciplinary offense;
 - b) for a disciplinary offense committed by indirect intention, i.e. he/she knew that his/her action can cause a disciplinary offense and in the event that this happens he/she agreed with it;

- c) who during his/her studies at STU in the previous period committed a disciplinary offense, but taking into account the severity, circumstances, degree of misconduct and consequences in a causal connection with the disciplinary offense committed, there is no reason to impose a disciplinary measure in accordance with par. 1. letter c) - expulsion from the study;
 - d) if the amount of losses does not exceed €500 (in words: five hundred euros) taking into account the severity, circumstances, degree of misconduct and consequences in a causal connection with the disciplinary offense committed.
- (4) When determining to impose a disciplinary measure in accordance with par. 1. letter b) - a suspension from the study, the decision must include conditions that must be met by the STU student within a period. When deciding on the imposition of a suspension from the study, the Disciplinary Commission takes into account the severity of the disciplinary offense.
 - (5) The period during which the student must comply with the STU conditions given in the decision on suspension from the study is at least six months and not more than one year, and shall begin on the day following the day when the decision on imposing the disciplinary measure comes into force in accordance with par. 1 letter b). The provision in the first sentence of this paragraph shall not apply if the condition is to do something once, for example, to reimburse damages, pay tuition or fees associated with the study, etc.; in this case a specific due date for the commitment shall be determined.
 - (6) Conditions laid down in the decision on suspension from the study may include, for example, an agreement to reimburse losses to the victim, personal or public apology to the victim, abstain from a kind of conduct, payment of tuition and fees associated with the study, etc.
 - (7) In the case that the disciplinary measure was decided by the Faculty Disciplinary Commission, compliance with the conditions laid down in the decision on suspension from the study during a specified period is supervised by the dean of the faculty, in the case when the disciplinary measure was decided by the STU Disciplinary Commission, the rector shall supervise it.
 - (8) If during the specified period, the STU student complies with the conditions laid down in the decision on the suspension from the study, the dean, in the case where the disciplinary measure was decided by the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission, or the rector, if the disciplinary measure was decided by the STU Disciplinary Commission, shall cancel the suspension from the study and the STU student will be considered as if the imposition of the suspension from the study had never been imposed.
 - (9) If during the specified period, the STU student does not comply with the conditions laid down in the decision on the suspension from the study, the dean, in the case where the disciplinary measure was decided by the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission, or the rector, if the disciplinary measure was decided by the STU Disciplinary Commission, shall issue a decision annulling the suspension from the study, and at the same time decides on imposing a disciplinary measure under par. 1 letter c), i.e. the decision on expulsion from the study.
 - (10) If before the period laid down in the decision on the suspension from the study passes, the STU student commits another disciplinary offence, the dean, in the case where the disciplinary measure was decided by the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission, or the rector, if the disciplinary measure was decided by the STU Disciplinary Commission, shall issue a decision on imposing a disciplinary measure under par. 1 letter c), i.e. the decision on expulsion from the study, if it will be proposed by the STU

Disciplinary Commission or the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission, depending on which one is competent in the case of disposing the disciplinary measure. The decision on imposing the disciplinary measure under par. 1 letter c) - the decision on expulsion from the study shall also include the decision on annulling the decision on suspension from the study.

- (11) If the period laid down in the decision on the suspension from the study passes, and the STU student complies with the conditions given there, and the dean, in the case where the disciplinary measure was decided by the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission, or the rector, if the disciplinary measure was decided by the STU Disciplinary Commission, does not issue any decision to annul the suspension from the study within 30 days after the specified period passes, it is considered that the decision on the suspension from the study is annulled, and the STU student will be considered as if the imposition of the suspension from the study had never been imposed.
- (12) Disciplinary measures in accordance with par. 1 letter c) - an expulsion from the study, shall be generally imposed to a STU student:
 - a) for a serious disciplinary offense committed intentionally;
 - b) who during his/her studies at STU in the previous period committed a more serious disciplinary offence;
 - c) who in the course of one calendar year repeatedly committed disciplinary offenses, for which certain disciplinary measures were imposed on him/her;
 - d) during the period of the suspension from the study committed a disciplinary offense, taking into account the severity, circumstances, degree of misconduct and consequences caused in the causal connection with the offense committed;
 - e) committed a disciplinary offence under Art. 3 par. 1 letters c), i), l), n), p), q), r);
 - f) the amount of losses exceed €500 (in words: five hundred euros) taking into account the severity, circumstances, degree of misconduct and consequences in a causal connection with the disciplinary offense committed.

Article 7

The STU Disciplinary Commission

- (1) The STU Disciplinary Commission discusses disciplinary offenses of STU students who are not enrolled in any program of study provided by the STU Faculty (i.e. students who do not attend any program of study at the STU Faculty) and submits a proposal to the Rector to decide.
- (2) The STU Disciplinary Commission has eight members. The chairman and other members of the STU Disciplinary Commission are selected from academics of STU and appointed by the rector after the prior approval of the STU Academic Senate. Half of the members of the STU Disciplinary Commission are STU students.
- (3) The chairman of the STU Disciplinary Commission is generally Pro-rector for Education at STU.
- (4) Membership in the STU Disciplinary Commission expires:
 - a) with expiration of the term of office;
 - b) with written resignation from the membership;
 - c) at the date of termination of membership in the academic community;
 - d) with suspension;
 - e) with death.
- (5) The term of office of the STU Disciplinary Commission members is four years. The same

- person may be repeatedly appointed as a member of the STU Disciplinary Commission.
- (6) If a membership of any member of the STU Disciplinary Commission expires before the end of the term of office in accordance with paragraph 5 of this Article, a new member shall be selected from the academics of STU and appointed by the rector after the prior approval of the STU Academic Senate for the vacancy, and his/her term lasts till the end of the term of the member whose membership expired.
 - (7) Activities of the STU Disciplinary Commission are governed by the Rules of Procedure of the STU Disciplinary Commission approved by the STU Academic Senate based on the proposal of the rector.
 - (8) For administrative purposes, the STU Disciplinary Commission has a secretary, who is not a full member of the Commission pursuant to paragraph 2 of this Article. The secretary of the STU Disciplinary Commission is appointed by the rector.

Article 8

STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission

- (1) The STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission discusses disciplinary offenses of STU students who are enrolled in a program of study provided by the STU Faculty and submits a proposal to the rector to decide.
- (2) The STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission has eight members. The chairman and other members of the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission are selected from academics of the STU faculty and appointed by the dean after the prior approval of the STU Faculty Academic Senate. Half of the members of the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission are STU students.
- (3) The chairman of the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission is generally Pro-dean whose field of action includes the first, second or third study degree at the STU faculty.
- (4) Membership in the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission expires:
 - a) with expiration of the term of office;
 - b) with written resignation from the membership;
 - c) at the date of termination of membership in the academic community;
 - d) with suspension;
 - e) with death.
- (5) The term of office of the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission members is four years, unless the Disciplinary Rules of the corresponding faculty state otherwise. The same person may be repeatedly appointed as a member of the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission.
- (6) If the membership of any member of the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission expires before the end of the term of office in accordance with paragraph 5 of this Article, a new member shall be selected from the academics of the STU faculty and appointed by the dean after the prior approval of the STU Faculty Academic Senate for the vacancy, and his/her term lasts till the end of the term of the member whose membership expired.
- (7) Activities of the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission are governed by the Rules of Procedure of the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission approved by the STU Faculty Academic Senate. If the STU Faculty has not issued its own Rules of Procedure of the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission, activities of the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission are governed by the Rules of Procedure of the STU Disciplinary Commission.
- (8) For administrative purposes, the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission has a secretary,

who is not a full member of the Commission pursuant to paragraph 2 of this Article. The secretary of the STU Disciplinary Commission is appointed by the dean.

SECOND PART
DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

Article 9

Petition for Disciplinary Proceedings

- (1) The disciplinary proceedings are in the competency of the STU Disciplinary Commission in the case of disciplinary offenses committed by STU students who are not enrolled in any program of study provided by the STU Faculty, and in the competency of the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission in the case of disciplinary offenses committed by STU students who are enrolled in a program of study provided by the STU faculty.
- (2) Disciplinary proceedings in the competency of the STU Disciplinary Commission will be initiated upon the receipt of a proposal to start disciplinary proceedings in accordance with paragraph 4 of this Article, which shall be drawn up based on the disciplinary referral in accordance with paragraph 3 of this Article.
- (3) A disciplinary referral to initiate disciplinary proceedings can be submitted by any STU employee, STU faculty employee, STU student or any other person who has knowledge of the conduct of a STU student that could have signs of a disciplinary offense, by submitting to the rector or dean of the STU faculty STU, depending to their competency. Disciplinary referrals to initiate disciplinary proceedings are normally submitted in writing, but can also be submitted by electronic means; to initiate disciplinary proceedings no mandatory requirements are stated.
- (4) Petition for Disciplinary proceedings are prepared and delivered to the chairman of the STU Disciplinary Commission or the chairman of the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission (as appropriate) by the department assigned and managed by the rector or dean. Petition for Disciplinary Proceedings must contain:
 - a) precise description of the STU student behaviour, which is considered a disciplinary offense by stating the relevant provisions of the STU Disciplinary Rules, including the relevant provisions of generally binding legal regulations and/or STU internal regulations, which were violated;
 - b) time and place where the disciplinary offense was committed;
 - c) indication of evidence used as the basis for the proposal to start disciplinary proceedings;
 - d) reasons why the conduct is deemed a disciplinary offense;
 - e) identification of the STU student who committed the disciplinary offense.
- (5) The chairman of the Disciplinary Committee (as appropriate) returns the proposal to start disciplinary proceedings if the proposal does not meet the requirements under paragraph 4 of this Article, and determines a term for correction of deficiencies of the petition. If the petition is not received corrected or amended within the defined period, or is not delivered in the period at all, it is understood that no petition was submitted.

Article 10

Hearing in front of the Disciplinary Commission

- (1) Upon delivery of the petition for the proceedings, the Disciplinary Commission (as appropriate) discusses the disciplinary offense at its meeting, except as provided in Art. 11, par. 1. letter c).

- (2) A hearing of the disciplinary offense in front of the Disciplinary Commission is oral and in the presence of the STU student who had the disciplinary offense committed by the petition. The STU student is summoned to participate in the disciplinary proceedings adequately in advance. The summons shall be served to the STU student personally, typically at least 7 days prior to the meeting of the Disciplinary Commission (as appropriate). If the STU student fails to come without any proper justification, the Disciplinary Commission may act and also decide in his/her absence. The procedural rights of the STU student, including whether the apology of the STU student is reasonable, shall be decided by the Disciplinary Commission (as appropriate) with a resolution.
- (3) Detailed regulations and rules about the course of Disciplinary Commission meetings are governed by the STU Rules of Procedure of the Disciplinary Commission, or the STU Faculty Rules of Procedure of the Disciplinary Commission, if issued; otherwise the STU Rules of Procedure of the Disciplinary Commission are relevant.

Article 11

Decisions of the Disciplinary Commission

- (1) After discussing the disciplinary offense, the Disciplinary Commission issues the resolution and:
 - a) approves the proposal to decide on imposing a disciplinary measure presented by its chairman to the rector in the case of conduct in the competency of the STU Disciplinary Commission, or the dean if the conduct is in the competency of the STU Disciplinary Commission.

The proposal also includes the record of the Disciplinary Commission meeting where the disciplinary offense was discussed. The proposal to decide about imposing a disciplinary measure shall include:

 1. finding that the disciplinary offense was committed, giving the relevant provisions of the STU Disciplinary Rules including the designation of the relevant provisions of generally binding legal regulations and/or STU internal regulations STU violated;
 2. finding whether the disciplinary offense was committed by negligence or intentionally;
 3. identification of the STU student who committed the disciplinary offense;
 4. proposal of the type of disciplinary measure to be imposed;
 5. justification of the proposal to impose the disciplinary measure;
 6. proposed conditions and deadlines to meet for proposals to impose a suspension from the study.
 - b) refuses the proposal to decide on imposing a disciplinary measure. The chairman of the relevant Disciplinary Commission shall immediately inform the rector if the disciplinary proceedings are in the competency of the appropriate STU Disciplinary Commission, or the dean if the disciplinary proceedings are in the competency of the appropriate STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission.
 - c) stops the disciplinary proceedings in the case it is proved that:
 1. no disciplinary offence occurred;
 2. the disciplinary offense was not committed by the STU student who is identified in the petition for disciplinary proceedings;
 3. the conduct of the STU student does not meet the signs of a disciplinary offense under these STU Disciplinary Rules;

4. the person who committed the disciplinary offense is not the person under Art. 4

of the STU Disciplinary Rules.

- (2) The chairman of the relevant Disciplinary Commission shall immediately inform the rector if the disciplinary proceedings are in the competency of the appropriate STU Disciplinary Commission, or the dean if the disciplinary proceedings are in the competency of the appropriate STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission, that the disciplinary proceeding was stopped.

Article 12

Decisions on Disciplinary Measures

- (1) Disciplinary Measures under Art. 6 are imposed by the decision of:
- a) the rector, if the disciplinary proceedings are in the competency of the appropriate STU Disciplinary Commission, or
 - b) the dean, if the disciplinary proceedings are in the competency of the appropriate STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission.
- (2) The rector or dean under paragraph 1 of this Article shall not impose stricter disciplinary measures than those proposed by the STU Disciplinary Commission or the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission, whichever is relevant to the process.
- (3) Disciplinary measures shall be imposed normally within 14 calendar days since the proposal to impose a disciplinary measure is delivered by the STU Disciplinary Commission or the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission, as appropriate.
- (4) In the case of a less serious disciplinary offense, which is committed by the STU student by negligence and because of the previous behaviour, study results and character of the STU student it can be assumed that the mere discussion of the offense by the STU Disciplinary Commission or the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission will lead to his/her atonement, the rector or the dean, as appropriate by the Disciplinary Commission, may decide not to impose any disciplinary measure, or to impose a more lenient disciplinary measure than that proposed by the relevant Disciplinary Commission.
- (5) The decision to impose a disciplinary measure shall be in writing, shall include a statement, justification and instructions how to apply for its review, and shall be delivered to the STU student personally.
- (6) The statement on the decision imposing the disciplinary measure shall include the specific indication of the provision of the legislation and/or the STU internal regulation that relates to the statement, including the place, time and method of commission, or other facts necessary in order not to confuse it with any other disciplinary offense, including all features that justify the imposition of the disciplinary measure, and the amount of losses to be refunded, if the right for its compensation was properly and timely applied.
- (7) The statement on the decision not to impose any disciplinary measure shall include the reasons why no disciplinary measure was imposed, including the provision of the legal regulation and/or the STU internal regulation, and the wording of the reason or reasons why it was not imposed.
- (8) In reasoning the decision to impose a disciplinary measure or the decision not to impose any disciplinary measure, the facts shall be stated that were the basis for the decision, what evidence was presented, and how they were evaluated.
- (9) The decision imposing a disciplinary measure shall include information on the option to

request a review of the decision, stating the period and the authority competent to decide.

- (10) Decisions on disciplinary offenses not imposing any disciplinary measure cannot be requested for review.

Article 13

Review of Decisions on Imposing Disciplinary Measures

- (1) A STU student who has been subject to disciplinary measures, may request in writing the review of a decision to impose a disciplinary measure (hereinafter "Decision") within 8 days of receipt of the Decision. The request shall be submitted to the authority issuing the Decision, i.e. in the case when the STU Disciplinary Commission was competent to process the disciplinary offence, the authority is the rector, and when the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission was competent to process the disciplinary offence, the authority is the dean. The request for review of the Decision imposing a disciplinary measure within the defined period shall have a suspended effect.
- (2) If the decision was made by the dean, he/she himself/herself can execute the request for review of the decision and change or cancel it. If he/she refuses to execute it, he/she passes it to the rector. The rector shall review the dean's decision, and if it is in violation of the law or STU internal regulations, he/she shall amend or annul the decision; or otherwise reject the request and confirm the decision. The rector shall issue a decision within 30 days of receipt of the request for review of the dean's decision.
- (3) If the decision imposing a disciplinary measure was issued by the rector in the first instance, and the request to review it he/she did not decide himself/herself under the preceding paragraph, the request with the case file shall be presented to the STU Academic Senate. The STU Academic Senate shall review the rector's decision, and if it is in violation of the law or STU internal regulations, shall amend or annul the decision; or otherwise reject the request and confirm the decision. The STU Academic Senate shall decide about the request within 30 days of receipt of the request for review of the rector's decision.
- (4) The request for additional review can be submitted for any first instance decision amended the original decision.

Article 14

Validity, Legality and Enforceability of Decisions on Disciplinary Measures

- (1) The decision to impose a disciplinary measure and the decision not to impose any disciplinary measure is valid when issued by the competent authority, i.e. in the case when the STU Disciplinary Commission was competent to process the disciplinary offence, the authority is the rector, and when the STU Faculty Disciplinary Commission was competent to process the disciplinary offence, the authority is the dean, and was duly delivered to the STU student.
- (2) The decision not to impose any disciplinary measure is valid on the day when it is duly delivered to the STU student.
- (3) The decision to impose a disciplinary measure is valid when no request for review can be submitted for it.

- (4) First instance decisions imposing disciplinary measures made by the rector or dean depending on the relevant Disciplinary Commission to impose disciplinary measures, against which the student did not submit any request for review, shall enter into force on the day when the eight-day period passed pursuant to Art. 13 par. 1 of the STU Disciplinary Rules.
- (5) Second instance decisions imposing disciplinary measures made by the rector or the STU Academic Senate, as appropriate under Art. 13, shall enter into force on the day of delivery to the relevant STU students.
- (6) If a student has been subject to the disciplinary measure under Art. 6 par. 1 letter c) of the STU Disciplinary Rules - expulsion from the study, the date when the study is discontinued is the day when the decision imposing the disciplinary measure - expulsion from the study, comes into force.
- (7) Disciplinary measures under Art. 6 par. 1 letters a) to c) of the STU Disciplinary Rules shall be carried out as follows:
 - a) the legally valid decision imposing the disciplinary measure shall be delivered personally to the STU student whose disciplinary offense was processed in the disciplinary proceedings;
 - b) it shall be filed in the STU student's personal documentation, after the decision to impose the disciplinary measure comes into force.

Article 15 **Service**

- (1) Unless in the STU Disciplinary Rules it is otherwise provided, for service under the Disciplinary Rules the provisions of service under Art. 50 paragraphs 4, 5 and 7 of internal regulation No. 4/2013 STU Study Regulations shall apply.

Article 16 **Specific Authorization of the STU Rector**

- (1) The STU rector is authorized to issue an internal organizational and management STU standard, which adjusts the forms of petitions and proposals for the STU Disciplinary Commission and the forms of decisions under this STU Disciplinary Rules (especially the Petition for Disciplinary Proceeding, Proposal to Impose Disciplinary Measure, Decision to Impose Disciplinary Measure) and details of their use.

THIRD PART GENERAL, TRANSITIONAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS

Article 17 **General Provisions**

- (1) Offences of STU students violating the House Rules of STU student residences are processed following these STU Disciplinary Rules if they cannot be addressed under the provisions of Act No. 40/1964 Coll. the Civil Code as amended and related legal regulation or under Act No. 372/1990 Coll. on Offences as amended.
- (2) Decisions on the imposition of disciplinary measures under these STU Disciplinary Rules shall not be governed by Act No. 71/1967 Coll. on Administrative Proceedings

(Administrative Code) as amended.

- (3) Loss for the purposes of these STU Disciplinary Rules means damage of property, or real loss of property or rights of the victim or his/her other injury that is causally connected with the disciplinary offense, regardless of whether the damage relates to things or rights. Loss for the purposes of these STU Disciplinary Rules also means to obtain a benefit causally connected with the disciplinary offense. Loss means a profit loss to which the victim would otherwise be entitled due to circumstances and his/her situation, or what he/she could reasonably achieve.

Article 18

Transitional and final provisions

- (1) If a STU faculty needs to specify the STU Disciplinary Rules more precisely for its own conditions or to specify the procedure and process of imposing disciplinary measures more precisely than that governed by this Disciplinary Rules, it can do this under Section 33 par. 3 letter d) of the Act with the issuing of its own internal regulation (hereinafter referred to as "STU Faculty Disciplinary Rules").
- (2) Faculties are entitled till 1 September 2013 to harmonize their relevant disciplinary rules with these STU Disciplinary Rules. After passing the deadline without issuing any internal regulation under the preceding sentence, the faculty is governed by these STU Disciplinary Rules; however, this does not affect the right of the faculties under paragraph 1 of this Article.
- (3) The provisions of the STU Faculty Disciplinary Rules that were issued till the effective date of this STU Disciplinary Rules expire on the effective date of these STU Disciplinary Procedure and to the extent that they are inconsistent with them.
- (4) Faculties and STU shall update the appointing letters of STU Faculty Disciplinary Commissions and the STU Disciplinary Commission members to comply with these STU Disciplinary Rules till 1 September 2013. After passing the deadline referred to in the first sentence of this paragraph, all rights and obligations of STU Faculty Disciplinary Commissions and STU Disciplinary Commission members expiry.
- (5) STU Faculty Disciplinary Rules may narrow or expand the scope of rights and obligations set out in these STU Disciplinary Rules; STU Faculty Disciplinary Rules may only be issued to modify specific details mentioned in this STU Disciplinary Rules in relation to the corresponding faculty, and respecting the principles and the scope of rights and obligations provided there. This does not affect the provisions of the STU Disciplinary Rules, which clearly authorize the faculty to modify limits, terms, rights and obligations, etc. in a different way.
- (6) If disciplinary proceedings were initiated under the previous internal regulations, the disciplinary proceedings shall be completed under the regulations effective at the time when such began, and provided that such are not contrary to legislation or other generally binding legal regulations.
- (7) All amendments to the STU Disciplinary Rules must be approved by the STU Academic Senate.
- (8) This internal regulation repeals
 - a) The Disciplinary Rules of the Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava for Students approved by the STU Academic Senate on 3 February 2013.
 - b) Appendix No. 1 of the Disciplinary Rules of the Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava for Students approved by the STU Academic Senate on 29

November 2010.

- (9) The Disciplinary Rules of the Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava for Students were approved by the STU Academic Senate on 24 June 2013.
- (10) The Disciplinary Rules of the Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava for Students shall enter into force on the date of their approval by the STU Academic Senate and take effect on 1 September 2013.

signature ¹

doc. Ing. Karol Jelemenský, PhD.
Chairman of STU Academic Senate

signature ²

prof. Ing. Robert Redhammer, PhD.
Rector

1) and 2) The original of the signed internal regulation No. 6/2013 "Disciplinary Rules of the Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava for Students" is stored and available for consultation on legal and administration department of the Rectorry of the Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava.